

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/701,705	Applicant(s) OKUMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Qutbuddin Ghulamali	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Qutbuddin Ghulamali

(2) Thomas M. Bonacci

Date of Interview: 30 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
23, 37, 62, 93-97, 105-109

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to amend the claims discussed as noted in the examiner's amendment so as to advance prosecution in the case to allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Qutub Ghulamali
 AU-2611

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)